In addition, one Sector Reforms project has also been sanctioned in February, 2000 to Sirmour District of Himachal Pradesh at an approved cost of Rs. 2005 lakhs out of which Government of India snare is Rs. 1857.50 lakhs. So far Rs. 557.25 lakhs have been released as Government of India share for the project.

(c) As per information received from the State Government, the amount spent by Government of Himachal Pradesh on their own during the last five years is as under:

(Rs. in lakhs)

Year	Expenditure reported by the State Government under State Sector
1998-99	8833.00
1999-2000	7800.00
2000-2001	6417.00
2001-2002	9703.00
2002-2003	6647.00 (up to 11/2002)

Funding for rural development programmes

*29. SHRIMATI AMBIKA SONI:
DR.T. SUBBARAMI REDDY:

Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the States have not only diverted but also reneged on their share of funding key rural development programmes to the tune of a colossal Rs. 150 crore in 2001 -2002 as against total dues of Rs. 2020.48 crore;

(b) if so, who were the biggest offenders in this regard;

(c) the action proposed to be taken against these States;

(d) whether as on November 12,2002 another 2,17,211 habitations are being supplied with unsafe drinking water; and

(e) what are the efforts being made to provide safe drinking water and ensure utilization of the funds fully provided by the Centre?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI ANNASAHEB M.K. PATIL): (a) While some cases of diversion of funds under certain schemes have been reported in a few States, some States have not been contributing their full share. As per the latest reports available, the overall shortfall in release of State's share during 2001 -2002 was Rs. 147.88 crores, against due share of Rs. 2018.22 crores, under the major allocation based Programmes, namely, the Swarnjayanti Gram SwarozgarYojana (SGSY), the Sampooma Gramin RozgarYojana (SGRY) and the Indira AwaasYojana (IAY).

(b)The shortfall in release of State's share by the States of Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Goa, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Manipur, Nagaland, Punjab and Uttaranchal was more than 10% of due share.

(c) The States failing to release full State's share attract a cut in "subsequent release of Central Government funds.The cut imposed is in proportion to the Centre's Share in the scheme.

(d) No, Sir. Unsafe drinking water is not being supplied by the Government. The figure 217211 shows the number of quality habitations as per water quality survey carried out in 1999. Efforts are being made to provide safe drinking water to all quality affected habitations through Sub-Mission projects started in 1994 and are undertaken by State Governments. Since 1999, powers have been delegated to the State Governments for sanctioning Sub-Mission projects on quality.

(e) Utilisation Certificates are insisted upon at the time of release of 2nd instalment of funds to the States, with a view to ensure proper utilization of funds. In cases where the opening balance due to non-utilisation of funds exceeds 15% of the allocation, cut is imposed in respect of the amount exceeding 15%. This would act as a deterrent for States against non-utilisation of funds.

Breach jn PM's Security

*31. SHRIMATI N.R DURGA:

PROF. SAIFUDDIN SOZ:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to

(a) whether there have been some incidents where security lapses have occurred in Prime Minister's security;